

# Perfluoroalkyl Acids in Maternal Serum and Indices of Fetal Growth: The Aarhus Birth Cohort

Cathrine Carlsen Bach, Bodil Hammer Bech, Ellen Aagaard Nohr,
Jørn Olsen, Niels Bjerregård Matthiesen,
Eva Cecilie Bonefeld-Jørgensen, Rossana Bossi,
and Tine Brink Henriksen

http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510046

Received: 7 April 2015

**Accepted: 9 October 2015** 

**Advance Publication: 23 October 2015** 

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* will provide a 508-conformant version of this article upon final publication. If you require a 508-conformant version before then, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.



Perfluoroalkyl Acids in Maternal Serum and Indices of Fetal **Growth: The Aarhus Birth Cohort** 

Cathrine Carlsen Bach<sup>1</sup>, Bodil Hammer Bech<sup>2</sup>, Ellen Aagaard Nohr<sup>3</sup>, Jørn Olsen<sup>2,4</sup>, Niels

Bierregård Matthiesen<sup>5</sup>, Eva Cecilie Bonefeld-Jørgensen<sup>6</sup>, Rossana Bossi<sup>7</sup>, and Tine Brink

Henriksen<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Perinatal Epidemiology Research Unit, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark;

<sup>2</sup>Section for Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus,

Denmark; <sup>3</sup>Research Unit for Gynecology and Obstetrics, Institute of Clinical Research,

University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark; <sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Fielding

School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of

Pediatrics, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark: <sup>6</sup>Centre for Arctic Health & Unit

for Cellular and Molecular Toxicology, Department of Public Health, Aarhus University,

Aarhus, Denmark; <sup>7</sup>Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Roskilde,

Denmark

Address correspondence to Cathrine Carlsen Bach, Perinatal Epidemiology Research Unit,

Aarhus University Hospital, Palle Juul-Jensens Boulevard 99, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark.

Telephone: +45 61717150. E-mail: ccbach@clin.au.dk

Running head: Perfluoroalkyl acids and birth weight

Acknowledgments: The Danish Council for Strategic Research (10-092818) supported the

work. This study is part of the Fetotox programme (www.fetotox.au.dk).

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare they have no actual or potential

competing financial interests.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

**ABSTRACT** 

**Background:** Previous studies indicated an association between intrauterine exposure to

perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) or perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) and lower birth weight.

However, these perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) have to some extent been substituted by other

compounds on which little is known.

**Objectives:** To investigate the association between specific PFAAs and birth weight, birth

length, and head circumference at birth.

Methods: We studied 1507 mothers and their children from the Aarhus Birth Cohort (2008-

2013). Nulliparous women were included during pregnancy and serum levels of 16 PFAAs

were measured between 9 and 20 completed gestational weeks (96 % within 13 weeks). For

compounds with quantifiable values in more than 50 % of samples (seven compounds) we

report the associations with birth weight, birth length, and head circumference at birth

determined by multivariable linear regression.

Results: Estimated mean birth weights were lower among women with serum

perfluorohexane sulfonate, perfluoroheptane sulfonate, and PFOS concentrations above the

lowest exposure quartile, but we found no consistent monotonic dose-response patterns.

These associations were stronger when the population was restricted to term births (n=1426).

For PFOS, the birth weight estimates for the highest versus lowest quartile were -50 [95 %

CI: -123; 23] g in all births and -62 [95 % CI: -126; 3] g in term births. For the other PFAAs,

the direction of the associations was inconsistent, and no overall association with birth weight

was apparent. No PFAAs were associated with birth length or head circumference at birth.

Conclusions: Overall, we did not find strong or consistent associations between PFAAs and

birth weight or other indices of fetal growth, though estimated mean birth weights were lower

among those with exposures above the lowest quartile for some compounds.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

INTRODUCTION

Perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) are man-made chemicals found in various products, e.g. food items and packaging, pots and pans, and textiles such as carpets, furniture, shoes and clothing (Kantiani et al. 2010). Specific PFAAs [perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)] have been voluntarily phased out or regulated in some parts of the world (Environment Canada 2010; European Parliament 2006; UNEP 2009; USEPA

2000, 2006), and these compounds have to some extent been replaced by novel, similar

compounds.

PFAAs accumulate in the human body (Butenhoff et al. 2006) and are persistent in the environment. Some have been shown to cross the placenta (Fei et al. 2007; Inoue et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2011; Midasch et al. 2007) and it has been hypothesized that they may affect fetal growth and development, possibly due to interaction with the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (Abbott 2009), estrogen biosynthesis or interaction with receptors *in vitro* (Benningshoff et al. 2011; Du et al. 2013; Henry and Fair 2011; Kjeldsen and Bonefeld-Jørgensen 2013;), thyroid hormone signaling (Du et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013; Thibodeaux et al. 2003; Lau et al. 2003; Long et al. 2013; Inoue et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2011), or the lipid metabolism (Apelberg et al. 2007; Thibodeaux et al. 2003). It is also plausible that exposures could affect the mother's appetite and food intake, or have direct effects on placental growth and/or function.

In animals several studies have found lower birth weight with exposure to PFOS (Luebker at al. 2005; Thibodeaux et al. 2003), PFOA (Koustas et al. 2014), and perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA) (Takahashi et al. 2014). However, exposure levels were higher than average environmental exposures in humans. Epidemiological studies have investigated the association between exposure to PFOS and PFOA and birth weight or related outcomes

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

(Apelberg et al. 2007; Arbuckle et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2012; Darrow et al. 2013; Fei et al. 2007; Hamm et al. 2010; Inoue et al. 2004; Kishi et al. 2015; Lee et al. 2013; Maisonet et al. 2012; Monroy et al. 2008; Robledo et al. 2015; Stein et al. 2009; Washino et al. 2009; Whitworth et al. 2012a; Wu et al. 2012). Even though most studies indicated an association with lower birth weight, in particular for PFOA, many studies had limited power and thus low precision due to small sample sizes (Bach et al. 2015). Few small studies (all with less than 500 participants) investigated other PFAAs such as perfluorononanoic acid [PFNA (Arbuckle et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2012; Monroy et al. 2008; Robledo et al. 2015)], PFUnA (Chen et al. 2012), perfluorohexane sulfonate ([PFHxS (Arbuckle et al. 2013; Hamm et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2013; Maisonet et al. 2012; Monroy et al. 2008)], perfluorodecanoic acid [PFDA (Robledo et al. 2015)], and perfluorooctane sulfonamide (Robledo et al. 2015) and found no consistent associations. To our knowledge, the present study is the largest to address PFAAs other than PFOS and PFOA to date. We aimed to examine the association between maternal serum levels of several PFAAs and birth weight, birth length and head circumference at birth in a large sample of pregnant women exposed to present (2008-2013) population levels of PFAAs. Additionally, we aimed to investigate the association between PFAAs and gestational age at birth and preterm birth.

### **METHODS**

## The Aarhus Birth Cohort and biobank

Annually, approximately 4,500 women give birth at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark. The ongoing Aarhus Birth Cohort (ABC) was established in 1989 and contains self-administered questionnaire data from pregnant women, who plan to give birth at the hospital. Most women complete the questionnaire at approximately 12 weeks of gestation. The cohort also contains information

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

about birth outcomes collected by clinical staff (attending midwives) immediately after delivery using a structured birth registration form. Trained research midwives validated birth data until January 2013, and after this date the authors reviewed the registry records. So far, the cohort contains information on more than 100,000 women (Larsen et al. 2013).

A biobank was added to the cohort in 2008 and contains blood samples from pregnant women, their partners, and umbilical cord material (Mortensen et al. 2013). Most maternal blood samples are obtained at approximately gestational week 12. All samples are processed within 2 hours and stored at -80 degrees Celsius until further analysis. All participants provide written consent accepting that blood samples are stored in a biobank, that the questionnaire data is stored electronically, and that all information can be used for future research approved by the proper authorities (Mortensen et al. 2013). Currently, the biobank contains blood samples from more than 10,000 women.

### Selection of participants

For this study, women in the cohort were eligible if they donated a blood sample between 9 and 20 completed gestational weeks, gave birth to a live born, singleton neonate, and were nulliparous. From the women who fulfilled these criteria (n=2853) we randomly sampled 1533 women recruited during 2008-13 (220-290 participants annually). Of these women, 1507 (98 %) had complete data on exposure, birth weight, and covariates and were included in the final study population. Regarding birth length 1499 (98 %) participants were included and for head circumference 1494 (97 %) participants were included in the analyses. Approval was obtained from the Danish Data protection Agency (reference 2012-41-1288) and the data collection was accepted by the Danish National Committee on Health Research Ethics (reference M-20110054).

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

**Exposure assessment** 

We measured the levels of 16 PFAAs in maternal serum and included compounds where at

least 50 % of the samples were above the lower limit of quantification (LOQ, see Table 1).

PFAA analysis was performed at the Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus

University, by use of high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

after solid phase extraction (Liew et al. 2014).

**Outcomes** 

The outcomes were available in the cohort and included birth weight (continuous and z-

score), birth length, and head circumference at birth. Z-scores were calculated by

standardization of birth weight for gestational age according to the most recent (1996)

Scandinavian fetal reference (Marsál et al. 1996). Furthermore, we studied the gestational age

at birth and the odds for preterm birth (birth before 37 weeks and 0 days of gestation).

Gestational age at birth was determined by first trimester ultrasound measurements. We

identified no implausible values of gestational age at birth (below 24 weeks or above 45

weeks). We identified birth weight and gestational age mismatches (Alexander et al. 1996),

and participants with implausible combinations (n=5) were excluded from the analyses of

birth weight along with six participants with missing birth weight.

Statistical analysis

We performed multivariable linear regression with robust standard errors (Huber-White

sandwich estimator) in order to estimate the association between individual PFAAs and

continuous birth weight and birth weight z-scores, birth length, head circumference at birth,

and gestational age at birth. The association between levels of PFAAs and preterm birth was

analyzed by logistic regression. We substituted PFAA values below the LOQ with the LOQ

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

divided by the square root of two. Levels of PFAAs were divided into quartiles with the lowest category used as reference. Moreover, we assessed the associations between continuous exposure measures and the above-mentioned outcomes. The continuous exposure measures were rescaled to assess the change in outcomes with a 0.1 ng/mL increase in PFAA levels since most of the compounds had median values below 1 ng/mL. To enhance comparability of individual PFAAs within our study we also modeled estimates per interquartile range of exposure. In addition, PFAA levels were modeled by the use of restricted cubic splines with pre-specified knots according to the quartile boundaries for each PFAA.

We identified covariates to include in the analyses by directed acyclic graphs (DAGs); see Supplemental Material, Figures S1 and S2. The analyses were adjusted for maternal age (continuous), maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index [BMI (continuous)], and maternal level of education (four categories). Furthermore we conditioned on parity by restricting to nulliparous women. Information on maternal age and parity was available in the birth registration form, and information on BMI and maternal level of education was extracted from the questionnaire. There were no missing values for maternal age. Few values were missing for BMI (n=11) and level of education (n=4).

In a secondary analysis, we included gestational age (continuous) in the birth weight model in addition to the other covariates. We did this in order to compare our findings with the existing literature, even though we are aware of the caveats of adjustment for a potential intermediate (Wilcox et al. 2011). These considerations apply to birth weight z-scores as well. We also restricted the analyses to children born at term (≥ 37 weeks of gestation). Due to previous reports on gender differences concerning the investigated association (Andersen et al. 2010; Maisonet et al. 2012; Robledo et al. 2015; Washino et al. 2009), we performed

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

the analyses of birth weight separate for each gender as well as pooled. We examined the importance of the gestational age at blood draw by restriction to participants who gave a blood sample within 13 completed gestational weeks [n=1440 (96 %)]. STATA statistical software version 12 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used for all the statistical

**RESULTS** 

analyses.

For seven of the measured PFAAs, more than 50 % of the samples had concentrations above the lower limit of quantification [PFHxS, perfluoroheptane sulfonate (PFHpS), PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, and PFUnA]. Limits of quantification (LOQ), levels above the LOQ and distributions of the included PFAAs are listed in Table 1, and corresponding information regarding the excluded compounds is listed in Supplemental Material, Table S1. Pearson's correlations for the seven included PFAAs are shown in Supplemental Material, Table S2. The two PFAAs with the highest correlation were PFNA and PFDA (Pearson's correlation=0.85) while the lowest correlation was found for PFUnA and PFHxS (Pearson's correlation=0.14).

Women with exposure levels in the higher quartiles were slightly older than those with exposure levels in the lower quartiles, i.e. the median ages were 29 years in the lowest quartiles and 30-31 years in the highest (Table 2). Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI did not differ according to exposure levels. For women with the three higher levels of education, there was not much difference between exposure distributions, but for the group of women with the lowest educational level, 38 – 50 % of women had exposure levels in the lowest PFAA quartiles.

For three perfluoroalkane sulfonic acids (PFHxS, PFHpS, and PFOS) estimated mean birth weights were lower for all quartiles above the first quartile (Table 3). Only PFHxS showed

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

some indication of a monotonic dose-response relationship with birth weight. Further adjustment for gestational age did not change the results in any consistent direction, while the associations for PFHxS, PFHps, and PFOS were stronger in term births (n=1426).

Continuous exposure measures of these compounds were not consistently associated with lower estimated mean birth weights.

No obvious association was found between PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, and PFUnA and birth weight (Table 3) regardless of whether exposures were modeled as continuous or categorical variables. For individual compounds the direction of the estimates was not consistent in each quartile, and no dose-response relationships were evident.

Restricted cubic splines of the associations between PFAAs and birth weight are presented in Supplemental Material, Figure S3. In general these did not identify any clear thresholds nor did they indicate monotonic dose-response patterns.

The associations between PFAA exposure and birth weight did not differ substantially between boys and girls for most compounds (Table 4). For PFNA and PFDA estimated mean birth weights were lower for girls compared to boys for quartiles above the first quartile, but in girls there was no monotonic dose-response pattern, and some of the apparent gender differences were attributable to higher estimated mean birth weight in boys with exposures above the lowest quartile.

Estimates for the association between PFAA exposures and birth length and head circumference at birth were all close to zero (see Supplemental Material, Table S3). There was no obvious association between PFAA exposure and gestational age at birth or preterm birth (see Supplemental Material, Table S4). The levels of PFAAs were similar in samples taken before and after 13 gestational weeks (data not shown), and the exclusion of 67

participants with a blood sample drawn after 13 completed gestational weeks did not change the results (data not shown).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Overall, we found weak and inconsistent associations between PFAA exposures and birth weight, birth length, and head circumference at birth. Independent of offspring sex estimated mean birth weights were lower in quartiles above the lowest quartile of three perfluoroalkane sulfonic acids, without clear monotonic dose-response patterns, while PFNA and PFDA tended to be associated with lower birth weight in girls only. However, the gender-specific estimates were less precise than the estimates from the complete population.

Quite a few studies have investigated the association between PFAAs and infant size at birth. PFAAs were measured in plasma or serum from maternal blood before conception, during pregnancy, or at birth, or in umbilical cord blood, and exposures were modeled as different continuous or categorical variables, which have made comparison of the results cumbersome. Also, the studies controlled for different factors (Bach et al. 2015). Nine studies investigated the association between PFOA and birth weight (Apelberg et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2012, 2012; Darrow et al. 2013; Fei et al. 2007; Hamm et al. 2010; Kishi et al. 2015; Maisonet et al. 2012; Robledo et al. 2015; Washino et al. 2009) and all found lower estimated mean birth weights with increasing exposure levels even though the magnitude and precision of the estimates varied substantially. Seven of ten studies found tendencies towards lower birth weight with higher PFOS levels (Apelberg et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2012; Darrow et al. 2013; Fei et al. 2007; Hamm et al. 2010; Inoue et al. 2004; Kishi et al. 2015; Maisonet et al. 2012; Robledo et al. 2015; Washino et al. 2009). Compared to some previous studies, we report lower serum levels of PFOS (Darrow et al. 2013; Fei et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2013; Maisonet et al. 2012; Monroy et al. 2008) and PFOA (Darrow et al. 2013; Fei et al. 2007; Maisonet et al.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

2012; Wu et al. 2012). For instance, the mean level of PFOA was 5.6 ng/mL in a previous Danish study by Fei et al. (1996-2002) compared to 2.2 ng/mL in the present study. In the study by Fei et al. the mean level of PFOS was 35.3 ng/mL compared to 8.9 ng/mL in the present study. This may be due to a decreasing exposure trend over time. If a threshold value of exposure exists, this may partly explain the lack of an association between PFOA and birth weight, birth length, and head circumference at birth in the present study. It is possible that regulatory measures might have decreased exposure sources sufficiently, perhaps to an extent that PFOA does not pose a potential threat to perinatal health. Thus, the results of the present study are largely reassuring. However, in accordance with other studies, the present results suggest an association between PFOS and lower birth weight. Other compounds, such as PFHxS and PFHpS, were also associated with lower birth weight. If an association between PFAAs and birth weight is mediated by changes in the sex hormone homeostasis this may explain the somewhat different associations that we found according to offspring sex for PFNA and PFDA, but these differences may also be due to statistical imprecision. Compounds other than PFOS and PFOA have been studied to a lesser extent, are currently not regulated, and thus need further investigation.

We studied women who were nulliparous at inclusion, gave birth to a live born child, provided blood samples, and completed a questionnaire. This may influence the validity and generalizability of our results. Selection bias due to non-response may not be very likely since participants were unaware of individual exposure levels (at any time) as well as the outcomes at the time of inclusion. However, it cannot be ruled out that the selection of study participants may have been associated with both exposure levels and the outcomes.

A few studies investigated the association between PFAAs and fecundability (Buck Louis et al. 2013; Fei et al. 2009; Jørgensen et al. 2014; Vélez et al. 2015; Vestergaard et al. 2012;

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Whitworth et al. 2012b), miscarriage (Darrow et al. 2014; Jensen et al. 2015; Savitz et al. 2012a; Stein et al. 2009), and stillbirth (Savitz et al. 2012a, 2012b) and found inconsistent results. Survival until birth may be less likely to occur among smaller fetuses, and if PFAA exposure is also associated with a decreased chance of live birth, restriction of the study population to live births only may have introduced selection bias which potentially attenuated a possible association between PFAAs and lower birth weight (Liew et al. 2015). However, the magnitude of a possible bias is largely unknown, and the bias relies on the strong assumption of very strong associations between PFAA exposure and a decreased chance of live birth. Adjustment for common causes of low birth weight and fetal survival, such as maternal age, BMI, and educational level would have reduced a possible live birth bias (Liew et al. 2015).

Accurate exposure assessment and the use of multiple exposure scales (continuous, categorical, splines) were strengths of our study. We chose to use the same continuous exposure scales for all compounds even though an increase of 0.1 ng/mL was a large increase for compounds with low average concentrations and a small increase for compounds with higher average concentrations such as PFOS and PFOA. To ease comparability for the different PFAAs within our study we also used continuous exposures divided by the interquartile range. We demanded strict laboratory procedures from sampling to the final analysis. Limited time was allowed from blood sampling to processing and freezing, state of the art laboratory equipment was used, and the high performance chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry setup was controlled using internal and external validation and controls. Objectively measured exposures such as PFAA levels are unlikely to be prone to differential measurement error. Because levels of PFAAs decrease throughout pregnancy (Glynn et al. 2012), we only included women who gave a blood sample before 20 completed gestational weeks.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Trained health care professionals systematically assessed the outcomes as part of routine data collection concerning all births at the hospital. Measurement error concerning birth weight, in particular differential measurement error, is unlikely to be of importance. However, birth length and head circumference at birth may be more prone to measurement error, and this might partly explain our close to null results for these outcomes.

We were able to control for the potential confounders we considered to be most important (see Supplemental Material, Figures S1 and S2), including maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, age, educational level, and parity (by restriction to first time mothers). Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and education were self-reported and may thus be recorded with some error. It is debatable whether the slightly stronger association for PFHxS, PFHpS, and PFOS after adjustment for gestational age, restriction to term births, or modeling of z-scores may be due to collider stratification bias (if gestational age is a mediator of the association between PFAAs and birth weight, and any factors we did not account for affected both gestational age and birth weight, conditioning on gestational age may have induced a spurious association between PFAAs and birth weight).

Physiological phenomena in pregnancy, including changes in the maternal glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and plasma volume expansion, may be potentially important confounders if exposures are assessed in late pregnancy or at birth (Loccisano et al. 2013; Morken et al. 2014). These phenomena are of much larger magnitude in late compared to early pregnancy, and the fact that we measured PFAA levels early is likely to have reduced potential confounding by these factors, which we did not collect information on. In previous studies measuring PFAAs in late pregnancy, or at birth, confounding by changes in GFR and plasma volume expansion may potentially explain the associations demonstrated between higher levels of PFAAs and lower birth weight. However, in a recent review of the literature

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

we found no systematic differences in the magnitude and direction of the association between

PFAAs and the estimated mean birth weight differences according to the timing of exposure

assessment (Bach et al. 2015). Since the causal window of exposure is largely unknown, the

optimal timing of exposure assessment is unsettled. We consider early measurements to be

preferable in order to limit the impact of physiological changes during pregnancy that may

influence the measured exposures.

Levels of PFAA compounds are correlated (see Supplemental Material, Table S2), and

different PFAAs are likely derived from similar exposure sources. Biologically, it is possible

that different PFAAs share health effects.

**CONCLUSIONS** 

We found no strong associations between PFAA exposures and birth weight, birth length, or

head circumference at birth. In particular, we failed to replicate the association between

PFOA and lower birth weight previously shown primarily in populations with higher

exposures that were not restricted to nulliparous women. The estimated mean birth weights

were lower in quartiles above the reference for PFOS, PFHxS, and PFHpS for all infants and

for PFNA and PFDA in girls only. Most of the compounds we investigated have not been

studied much, and more studies are warranted.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

### **REFERENCES**

- Abbott BD. 2009. Review of the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors alpha (PPAR alpha), beta (PPAR beta), and gamma (PPAR gamma) in rodent and human development. Reprod Toxicol 27:246–257; doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2008.10.001.
- Alexander GR, Himes JH, Kaufman RB, Mor J, Kogan M. 1996. A United States national reference for fetal growth. Obstet Gynecol 87:163–168; doi:10.1016/0029-7844(95)00386-X.
- Andersen CS, Fei C, Gamborg M, Nohr EA, Sørensen TIA, Olsen J. 2010. Prenatal exposures to perfluorinated chemicals and anthropometric measures in infancy. Am J Epidemiol 172:1230–1237; doi:10.1093/aje/kwq289.
- Apelberg BJ, Witter FR, Herbstman JB, Calafat AM, Halden RU, Needham LL, et al. 2007.

  Cord serum concentrations of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and

  perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in relation to weight and size at birth. Environ Health

  Perspect 115:1670–1676; doi:10.1289/ehp.10334.
- Arbuckle TE, Kubwabo C, Walker M, Davis K, Lalonde K, Kosarac I, et al. 2013. Umbilical cord blood levels of perfluoroalkyl acids and polybrominated flame retardants. Int J Hyg Environ Health 216:184–194; doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2012.03.004.
- Bach CC, Bech BH, Brix N, Nohr EA, Bonde JPE, Henriksen TB. 2015. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances and human fetal growth: A systematic review. Crit Rev Toxicol 1–15; doi:10.3109/10408444.2014.952400.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

- Benninghoff AD , Bisson WH , Koch DC , Ehresman DJ , Kolluri SK , Williams DE. 2011 .

  Estrogen-like activity of perfluoroalkyl acids in vivo and interaction with human and rainbow trout estrogen receptors in vitro. Toxicol Sci 120, 42–58 .
- Buck Louis GM, Sundaram R, Schisterman EF, Sweeney AM, Lynch CD, Gore-Langton RE, et al. 2013. Persistent environmental pollutants and couple fecundity: The LIFE study. Environ Health Perspect 121: 231–236.
- Butenhoff JL, Olsen GW, Pfahles-Hutchens A. 2006. The applicability of biomonitoring data for perfluorooctanesulfonate to the environmental public health continuum. Environ Health Perspect 114: 1776–1782.
- Chen M-H, Ha E-H, Wen T-W, Su Y-N, Lien G-W, Chen C-Y, et al. 2012. Perfluorinated compounds in umbilical cord blood and adverse birth outcomes. PLoS ONE 7:e42474; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042474.
- Darrow LA, Howards PP, Winquist A, Steenland K. 2014. PFOA and PFOS serum levels and miscarriage risk. Epidemiology 25:505–512; doi:10.1097/EDE.000000000000103.
- Darrow LA, Stein CR, Steenland K. 2013. Serum perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctane sulfonate concentrations in relation to birth outcomes in the Mid-Ohio Valley, 2005-2010. Environ Health Perspect 121:1207–1213; doi:10.1289/ehp.1206372.
- Du G, Hu J, Huang H, Qin Y, Han X, Wu D, et al. 2013. Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) affects hormone receptor activity, steroidogenesis, and expression of endocrine-related genes in vitro and in vivo. Environ Toxicol Chem 32:353–360; doi:10.1002/etc.2034.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

- Environment Canada. 2010. Environmental performance agreement ("agreement") respecting perfluorinated carboxylic acids (PFCAs) and their precursors in perfluorochemical products sold in Canada. Available: http://www.ec.gc.ca/epe-epa/default.asp?lang=En&n=81AE80CE-1 [Accessed 2 January 2015].
- European Parliament. 2006. Directive 2006/122/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006. Official Journal of the EU, 27 December 2006, L372/32-L372/34. Available: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32006L0122&from=EN [Accessed 2 January 2015].
- Fei C, McLaughlin JK, Lipworth L, Olsen J. 2009. Maternal levels of perfluorinated chemicals and subfecundity. Hum Reprod 24:1200–1205; doi:10.1093/humrep/den490.
- Fei C, McLaughlin JK, Tarone RE, Olsen J. 2007. Perfluorinated chemicals and fetal growth: a study within the Danish National Birth Cohort. Environ Health Perspect 115:1677–1682; doi:10.1289/ehp.10506.
- Hamm MP, Cherry NM, Chan E, Martin JW, Burstyn I. 2010. Maternal exposure to perfluorinated acids and fetal growth. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 20:589–597; doi:10.1038/jes.2009.57.
- Henry ND, Fair PA . 2011. Comparison of in vitro cytotoxicity, estrogenicity and antiestrogenicity of triclosan, perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoic acid . J Appl Toxicol 33, 265–72 .
- Inoue K, Okada F, Ito R, Kato S, Sasaki S, Nakajima S, et al. 2004. Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and related perfluorinated compounds in human maternal and cord blood

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

samples: assessment of PFOS exposure in a susceptible population during pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect 112:1204–1207.

- Jensen TK, Andersen LB, Kyhl HB, Nielsen F, Christesen HT, Grandjean P. 2015.

  Association between Perfluorinated Compound Exposure and Miscarriage in Danish

  Pregnant Women. PloS One 10:e0123496; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123496.
- Jørgensen KT, Specht IO, Lenters V, Bach CC, Rylander L, Jönsson BA, et al. 2014.

  Perfluoroalkyl substances and time to pregnancy in couples from Greenland, Poland and Ukraine. Environ Health Glob Access Sci Source 13:116; doi:10.1186/1476-069X-13-116.
- Kantiani L, Llorca M, Sanchís J, Farré M, Barceló D. 2010. Emerging food contaminants: a review. Anal Bioanal Chem 398:2413–2427; doi:10.1007/s00216-010-3944-9.
- Kim S, Choi K, Ji K, Seo J, Kho Y, Park J, et al. 2011. Trans-placental transfer of thirteen perfluorinated compounds and relations with fetal thyroid hormones. Environ Sci Technol 45:7465–7472; doi:10.1021/es202408a.
- Kishi R, Nakajima T, Goudarzi H, Kobayashi S, Sasaki S, Okada E, et al. 2015. The

  Association of Prenatal Exposure to Perfluorinated Chemicals with Maternal Essential
  and Long-Chain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids during Pregnancy and the Birth Weight
  of their Offspring: The Hokkaido Study. Environ Health Perspect. Apr 3. [Epub
  ahead of print]
- Kjeldsen LS, Bonefeld-Jørgensen EC. 2013. Perfluorinated compounds affect the function of sex hormone receptors. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 20:8031–8044; doi:10.1007/s11356-013-1753-3.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Koustas E, Lam J, Sutton P, Johnson PI, Atchley DS, Sen S, et al. 2014. The Navigation Guide - evidence-based medicine meets environmental health: systematic review of nonhuman evidence for PFOA effects on fetal growth. Environ Health Perspect 122(10):1015-27. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307177.

- Larsen PS, Kamper-Jørgensen M, Adamson A, Barros H, Bonde JP, Brescianini S, et al. 2013. Pregnancy and birth cohort resources in europe: a large opportunity for aetiological child health research. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 27:393–414; doi:10.1111/ppe.12060.
- Lau C, Thibodeaux JR, Hanson RG, Rogers JM, Grey BE, Stanton ME, et al. 2003. Exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate during pregnancy in rat and mouse. II: postnatal evaluation. Toxicol Sci 74:382–392; doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfg122.
- Lee YJ, Kim M-K, Bae J, Yang J-H. 2013. Concentrations of perfluoroalkyl compounds in maternal and umbilical cord sera and birth outcomes in Korea. Chemosphere 90:1603–1609; doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.08.035.
- Liew Z, Olsen J, Cui X, Ritz B, Arah OA. 2015. Bias from conditioning on live birth in pregnancy cohorts: an illustration based on neurodevelopment in children after prenatal exposure to organic pollutants. Int J Epidemiol 44:345–354; doi:10.1093/ije/dyu249.
- Liew Z, Ritz B, Bonefeld-Jørgensen EC, Henriksen TB, Nohr EA, Bech BH, et al. 2014.

  Prenatal exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances and the risk of congenital cerebral palsy in children. Am J Epidemiol 180:574–581; doi:10.1093/aje/kwu179.
- Loccisano AE, Longnecker MP, Campbell JL Jr, Andersen ME, Clewell HJ 3rd. 2013.

  Development of PBPK models for PFOA and PFOS for human pregnancy and

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

lactation life stages. J Toxicol Environ Health A 76(1):25-57. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2012.722523.

- Long M, Ghisari M, Bonefeld-Jørgensen EC. 2013. Effects of perfluoroalkyl acids on the function of the thyroid hormone and the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 20:8045–8056; doi:10.1007/s11356-013-1628-7.
- Luebker DJ, York RG, Hansen KJ, Moore JA, Butenhoff JL. 2005. Neonatal mortality from in utero exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) in Sprague Dawley rats: dose response, and biochemical and pharmacokinetic parameters . Toxicology 215, 149–69.
- Maisonet M, Terrell ML, McGeehin MA, Christensen KY, Holmes A, Calafat AM, et al. 2012. Maternal Concentrations of Polyfluoroalkyl Compounds during Pregnancy and Fetal and Postnatal Growth in British Girls. Environ Health Perspect 120:1432-7; doi:10.1289/ehp.1003096.
- Marsál K, Persson PH, Larsen T, Lilja H, Selbing A, Sultan B. 1996. Intrauterine growth curves based on ultrasonically estimated foetal weights. Acta Paediatr 85: 843–848.
- Midasch O, Drexler H, Hart N, Beckmann MW, Angerer J. 2007. Transplacental exposure of neonates to perfluorooctanesulfonate and perfluorooctanoate: a pilot study. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 80:643–648; doi:10.1007/s00420-006-0165-9.
- Monroy R, Morrison K, Teo K, Atkinson S, Kubwabo C, Stewart B, et al. 2008. Serum levels of perfluoroalkyl compounds in human maternal and umbilical cord blood samples.

  Environ Res 108:56–62; doi:10.1016/j.envres.2008.06.001.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

- Morken NH, Travlos GS, Wilson RE, Eggesbø M, Longnecker MP. 2014. Maternal glomerular filtration rate in pregnancy and fetal size. <u>PLoS One</u> 9(7):e101897. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101897.
- Mortensen LM, Bech BH, Nohr EA, Kruhøffer M, Kjærgaard S, Uldbjerg N, et al. 2013.

  Data resource profile: the Aarhus Birth Cohort Biobank (ABC Biobank). Int J

  Epidemiol 42:1697–1701; doi:10.1093/ije/dyt199.
- Robledo CA, Yeung E, Mendola P, Sundaram R, Maisog J, Sweeney AM, et al. 2015.

  Preconception maternal and paternal exposure to persistent organic pollutants and birth size: the LIFE study. Environ Health Perspect 123:88–94; doi:10.1289/ehp.1308016.
- Savitz DA, Stein CR, Bartell SM, Elston B, Gong J, Shin H-M, et al. 2012a.

  Perfluorooctanoic acid exposure and pregnancy outcome in a highly exposed community. Epidemiology 23:386–392; doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e31824cb93b.
- Savitz DA, Stein CR, Elston B, Wellenius GA, Bartell SM, Shin H-M, et al. 2012b.

  Relationship of perfluorooctanoic acid exposure to pregnancy outcome based on birth records in the mid-Ohio Valley. Environ Health Perspect 120:1201–1207; doi:10.1289/ehp.1104752.
- Stein CR, Savitz DA, Dougan M. 2009. Serum levels of perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctane sulfonate and pregnancy outcome. Am J Epidemiol 170:837–846; doi:10.1093/aje/kwp212.
- Takahashi M, Ishida S, Hirata-Koizumi M, Ono A, Hirose A. 2014. Repeated dose and reproductive/developmental toxicity of perfluoroundecanoic acid in rats. J Toxicol Sci 39(1):97-108.

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

- Thibodeaux JR, Hanson RG, Rogers JM, Grey BE, Barbee BD, Richards JH, et al. 2003.

  Exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate during pregnancy in rat and mouse. I: maternal and prenatal evaluations. Toxicol Sci 74:369–381; doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfg121.
- UN Environment Programme. 2009. Governments unite to step-up reduction on global DDT reliance and add nine new chemicals under international treaty. Available: http://chm.pops.int/Convention/Pressrelease/COP4Geneva8May2009/tabid/542/langu age/en-US/Default.aspx\_[Accessed 2 January 2015].
- US Environmental Protection Agency. 2000. EPA and 3M announce phase-out of PFOS.

  Available:

  http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/0/33aa946e6cb11f35852568e1005246b4

  [Accessed 2 January 2015].
- US Environmental Protection Agency. 2006. 2010/2015 PFOA Stewardship Program.

  Available: http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/pfoa/pubs/stewardship/ [Accessed 2 January 2015].
- Vélez MP, Arbuckle TE, Fraser WD. 2015. Maternal exposure to perfluorinated chemicals and reduced fecundity: the MIREC study. Hum Reprod 30:701–709; doi:10.1093/humrep/deu350.
- Vestergaard S, Nielsen F, Andersson A-M, Hjøllund NH, Grandjean P, Andersen HR, et al. 2012. Association between perfluorinated compounds and time to pregnancy in a prospective cohort of Danish couples attempting to conceive. Hum Reprod 27:873–880; doi:10.1093/humrep/der450.
- Wang Y, Starling AP, Haug LS, Eggesbo M, Becher G, Thomsen C, et al. 2013. Association between Perfluoroalkyl substances and thyroid stimulating hormone among pregnant

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

women: A cross-sectional study. Environ Health 12:76; doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-12-76.

- Washino N, Saijo Y, Sasaki S, Kato S, Ban S, Konishi K, et al. 2009. Correlations between prenatal exposure to perfluorinated chemicals and reduced fetal growth. Environ Health Perspect 117:660–667; doi:10.1289/ehp.11681.
- Whitworth KW, Haug LS, Baird DD, Becher G, Hoppin JA, Skjaerven R, et al. 2012a.

  Perfluorinated compounds in relation to birth weight in the Norwegian Mother and
  Child Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol 175:1209–1216; doi:10.1093/aje/kwr459.
- Whitworth KW, Haug LS, Baird DD, Becher G, Hoppin JA, Skjaerven R, et al. 2012b.

  Perfluorinated compounds and subfecundity in pregnant women. Epidemiology
  23:257–263; doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e31823b5031.
- Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, Basso O. 2011. On the pitfalls of adjusting for gestational age at birth. Am J Epidemiol 174:1062–1068; doi:10.1093/aje/kwr230.
- Wu K, Xu X, Peng L, Liu J, Guo Y, Huo X. 2012. Association between maternal exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) from electronic waste recycling and neonatal health outcomes. Environ Int 48:1–8; doi:10.1016/j.envint.2012.06.018.

Environ Health Perspect DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1510046 Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Table 1. Perfluoroalkyl acid abbreviations, limits of quantification (LOQ), levels above the LOQ, and exposure distributions for included compounds measured in 1507 serum samples from the Aarhus Birth Cohort, 2008-2013.

Abbreviation	LOQ	% above	Median (IQR)	Quartile 1	Quartile 2	Quartile 3	Quartile 4
		LOQ					
PFHxS	0.08	99.9	0.5(0.4-0.6)	<loq -="" 0.36<="" td=""><td>0.37 - 0.47</td><td>0.48 - 0.63</td><td>0.64 - 6.82</td></loq>	0.37 - 0.47	0.48 - 0.63	0.64 - 6.82
PFHpS	0.11	76	0.2(0.1-0.2)	<loq 0.11<="" td="" –=""><td>0.12 - 0.16</td><td>0.17 - 0.21</td><td>0.22 - 1.16</td></loq>	0.12 - 0.16	0.17 - 0.21	0.22 - 1.16
PFOS	0.28	99.9	8.3 (6.0 – 10.8)	<loq 6.02<="" td="" –=""><td>6.03 - 8.29</td><td>8.30 - 10.80</td><td>10.81 - 36.10</td></loq>	6.03 - 8.29	8.30 - 10.80	10.81 - 36.10
PFOA	0.20	99.9	2.0 (1.5 – 2.6)	<loq 1.53<="" td="" –=""><td>1.54 - 2.02</td><td>2.03 - 2.64</td><td>2.65 - 15.10</td></loq>	1.54 - 2.02	2.03 - 2.64	2.65 - 15.10
PFNA	0.27	99	0.8 (0.6 – 1.0)	<loq 0.60<="" td="" –=""><td>0.61 - 0.75</td><td>0.76 - 0.97</td><td>0.98 - 4.69</td></loq>	0.61 - 0.75	0.76 - 0.97	0.98 - 4.69
PFDA	0.09	99	0.3 (0.2 - 0.4)	<loq -="" 0.24<="" td=""><td>0.25 - 0.31</td><td>0.32 - 0.42</td><td>0.43 - 2.87</td></loq>	0.25 - 0.31	0.32 - 0.42	0.43 - 2.87
PFUnA	0.15	87	0.3 (0.2 - 0.4)	<loq -="" 0.20<="" td=""><td>0.21 - 0.29</td><td>0.30 - 0.44</td><td>0.44 - 2.47</td></loq>	0.21 - 0.29	0.30 - 0.44	0.44 - 2.47
	PFHxS PFHpS PFOS PFOA PFNA PFDA	PFHxS 0.08 PFHpS 0.11 PFOS 0.28 PFOA 0.20 PFNA 0.27 PFDA 0.09	PFHxS 0.08 99.9 PFHpS 0.11 76 PFOS 0.28 99.9 PFOA 0.20 99.9 PFNA 0.27 99 PFDA 0.09 99	LOQ           PFHxS         0.08         99.9         0.5 (0.4 – 0.6)           PFHpS         0.11         76         0.2 (0.1 – 0.2)           PFOS         0.28         99.9         8.3 (6.0 – 10.8)           PFOA         0.20         99.9         2.0 (1.5 – 2.6)           PFNA         0.27         99         0.8 (0.6 – 1.0)           PFDA         0.09         99         0.3 (0.2 – 0.4)	LOQ           PFHxS         0.08         99.9         0.5 (0.4 - 0.6) <loq -="" 0.36<="" th="">           PFHpS         0.11         76         0.2 (0.1 - 0.2)         <loq -="" 0.11<="" td="">           PFOS         0.28         99.9         8.3 (6.0 - 10.8)         <loq -="" 6.02<="" td="">           PFOA         0.20         99.9         2.0 (1.5 - 2.6)         <loq -="" 1.53<="" td="">           PFNA         0.27         99         0.8 (0.6 - 1.0)         <loq -="" 0.60<="" td="">           PFDA         0.09         99         0.3 (0.2 - 0.4)         <loq -="" 0.24<="" td=""></loq></loq></loq></loq></loq></loq>	PFHxS         0.08         99.9         0.5 (0.4 - 0.6) <loq -="" 0.36<="" th="">         0.37 - 0.47           PFHpS         0.11         76         0.2 (0.1 - 0.2)         <loq -="" 0.11<="" td="">         0.12 - 0.16           PFOS         0.28         99.9         8.3 (6.0 - 10.8)         <loq -="" 6.02<="" td="">         6.03 - 8.29           PFOA         0.20         99.9         2.0 (1.5 - 2.6)         <loq -="" 1.53<="" td="">         1.54 - 2.02           PFNA         0.27         99         0.8 (0.6 - 1.0)         <loq -="" 0.60<="" td="">         0.61 - 0.75           PFDA         0.09         99         0.3 (0.2 - 0.4)         <loq -="" 0.24<="" td="">         0.25 - 0.31</loq></loq></loq></loq></loq></loq>	PFHxS         0.08         99.9         0.5 (0.4 - 0.6) <loq -="" 0.36<="" th="">         0.37 - 0.47         0.48 - 0.63           PFHpS         0.11         76         0.2 (0.1 - 0.2)         <loq -="" 0.11<="" td="">         0.12 - 0.16         0.17 - 0.21           PFOS         0.28         99.9         8.3 (6.0 - 10.8)         <loq -="" 6.02<="" td="">         6.03 - 8.29         8.30 - 10.80           PFOA         0.20         99.9         2.0 (1.5 - 2.6)         <loq -="" 1.53<="" td="">         1.54 - 2.02         2.03 - 2.64           PFNA         0.27         99         0.8 (0.6 - 1.0)         <loq -="" 0.60<="" td="">         0.61 - 0.75         0.76 - 0.97           PFDA         0.09         99         0.3 (0.2 - 0.4)         <loq -="" 0.24<="" td="">         0.25 - 0.31         0.32 - 0.42</loq></loq></loq></loq></loq></loq>

Abbreviations: Interquartile range (IQR), perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs). All concentrations are listed in ng/mL.

Environ Health Perspect DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1510046 Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

**Table 2.** Maternal characteristics according to early pregnancy levels of perfluoroalkyl acids in 1507 mothers from the Aarhus Birth Cohort, 2008-2013.

PFAA	AA Age (years)		Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)	Education (%)			
		Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	1 (n=42)	2 (n=439)	3 (n=580)	4 (n=446)
PFHxS	Q1	29 (27 - 31)	22 (20 – 25)	50	27	27	19
	Q2	29 (27 - 31)	22 (21 – 25)	19	24	26	25
	Q3	30 (27-32)	22 (21 – 25)	21	27	23	25
	Q4	31 (29-33)	22 (20 – 25)	10	23	24	31
PFHpS	Q1	29 (27 - 32)	22 (20 – 24)	48	23	26	24
	Q2	29 (27 - 32)	22(20-24)	14	28	25	26
	Q3	29 (27 - 32)	` /	21	24	26	22
	Q4	30 (28 - 33)	22 (21 – 25)	17	24	22	28
PFOS	Q1	29 (27 – 32)	22 (20 – 25)	45	25	27	22
	Q2	29(27-32)	22(20-25)	19	25	25	24
	Q3	29(27-32)	22 (20 - 24)	22	27	23	29
	Q4	30 (28 - 32)	22 (21 - 25)	14	23	25	25
PFOA	Q1	29 (27 - 32)	22 (21-26)	43	23	27	23
	Q2	29 (27–32)	22 (20 – 24)	28	25	26	26
	Q3	29 (27 - 31)	22 (20 - 25)	10	27	23	25
	Q4	30(28-32)	22 (21 - 25)	19	25	24	26
PFNA	Q1	29 (27 - 32)		48	26	25	21
	Q2	30(28-32)	22 (21 – 25)	17	26	25	27
	Q3	30(27-32)	22(20-24)	10	24	24	27
	Q4	30(28-32)		26	24	26	24
PFDA	Q1	29 (27 - 32)	22 (20 – 25)	38	27	27	20
	Q2	29 (27 - 31)	22 (21 – 25)	19	25	26	24
	Q3	30(27-33)		10	25	23	29
	Q4	30(28-32)		33	23	24	28
PFUnA	Q1	29 (27 - 32)	22 (21 – 26)	48	30	27	18
	Q2	29 (27 - 32)	22 (20 – 25)	19	25	26	22
	Q3	30(27-32)	22 (20 – 25)	17	23	23	31
	Q4	30(28-32)	22(20-24)	17	22	24	29

Definitions of highest completed maternal education: 1. Municipal primary and lower secondary school; 2. Upper secondary school, or 1-2 years of vocational training; 3. Additional 3-4 years of education, e.g.

Bachelor's degree; 4. > 4 additional years of education, e.g. Master's degree.

Abbreviations: interquartile range (IQR), standard deviation (SD), quartile (Q), perfluoroalkyl acid (PFAA); for specific PFAA abbreviations see Table 1.

**Table 3.** Maternal levels of perfluoroalkyl acids and birth weight in 1507 children from the Aarhus Birth Cohort, 2008-2013.

PFAA	Exposure scale	Birth weight	t (g)				Birth weight z-score	
		Mean ± SD	Crude	Adjusted <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	Adjusted <sup>b</sup> (95% CI)	Restricted <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)	Crude	Adjusted <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
PFHxS	Q1	$3460 \pm 556$	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	$3452 \pm 460$	-9	-15 (-87, 57)	-11 (-67, 44)	-41 (-105, 24)	0.00	-0.01 (-0.15, 0.12)
	Q3	$3436 \pm 504$	-24	-25 (-100, 50)	-21 (-79, 37)	-34 (-101, 32)	-0.04	-0.03 (-0.17, 0.11)
	Q4	$3424 \pm 511$	-37	-29 (-106, 47)	-49 (-109, 11)	-49 (-118, 19)	-0.13	-0.11 (-0.25, 0.03)
	Per IQR		-9	-7 (-28, 14)	-13 (-30, 3)	-11 (-32, 9)	-0.04	-0.03 (-0.07, 0.01)
	(0.3  ng/mL)							
	Per 0.1 ng/mL		-3	-2 (-10, 5)	-5 (-11, 1)	-4 (-12, 3)	-0.01	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.00)
PFHpS	Q1	$3473 \pm 509$	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
-	Q2	$3418 \pm 540$	-56	-52 (-126, 21)	-20 (-75, 35)	-46 (-109, 17)	-0.05	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.10)
	Q3	$3446 \pm 478$	-27	-34 (-105, 36)	-50 (-107, 6)	-56 (-118, 7)	-0.10	-0.11 (-0.24, 0.02)
	Q4	$3436 \pm 504$	-38	-42 (-115, 31)	-43 (-100, 14)	-63 (-129, 2)	-0.10	-0.10 (-0.23, 0.04)
	Per IQR		-8	-12 (-40, 17)	-17 (-40, 6)	-23 (-50, 4)	-0.03	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.02)
	(0.1  ng/mL)			, , ,	` , ,			, , ,
	Per 0.1 ng/mL		-7	-11 (-37, 16)	-15 (-36, 5)	-21 (-45, 3)	-0.03	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.02)
PFOS	Q1	$3481 \pm 520$	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	$3397 \pm 518$	-84	-86 (-159, -13)	-66 (-122, -11)	-93 (-157, -29)	-0.15	-0.15 (-0.29, -0.02)
	Q3	$3461 \pm 486$	-20	-21 (-91, 48)	-30 (-86, 26)	-50 (-113, 13)	-0.07	-0.06 (-0.19, 0.07)
	Q4	$3431 \pm 510$	-50	-50 (-123, 23)	-58 (-105, 8)	-62 (-126, 3)	-0.11	-0.11 (-0.25, 0.02)
	Per IQR		-1	-2 (-30, 26)	-8 (-30, 14)	-14 (-40, 11)	-0.02	-0.02 (-0.07, 0.04)
	(4.8  ng/mL)			, , ,	. ,			, , ,
	Per 0.1 ng/mL		0	0(-1,1)	0 (-1.0)	0(-1,0)	0.00	0.00(0.00, 0.00)
PFOA	Q1	$3441 \pm 536$	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	$3419 \pm 522$	-22	-1 (-75, 74)	3 (-54, 59)	-36 (-101, 30)	-0.04	0.009 (-0.13, 0.14)
	Q3	$3458 \pm 488$	17	28 (-45, 102)	15 (-42, 72)	-7 (-73, 58)	0.01	0.04 (-0.09, 0.17)
	Q4	$3455 \pm 488$	13	26 (-47, 98)	9 (-47, 64)	4 (-59, 67)	-0.005	0.03 (-0.10, 0.16)
	Per IQR		19	21 (-1, 44)	7 (-10, 23)	13 (-6, 33)	0.01	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)
	(1.1 ng/mL)			, , ,	, , ,	, ,		
	Per 0.1 ng/mL		2	2 (-1, 4)	1 (-1, 2)	1 (-1, 3)	0.00	0.00(0.00, 0.00)
PFNA	Q1	$3458 \pm 544$	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	$3452 \pm 496$	-6	-12 (-85, 61)	-20 (-77, 37)	-26 (-92, 40)	-0.02	-0.03 (-0.17, 0.10)
	Q3	$3391 \pm 501$	-67	-63 (-137, 11)	-43 (-100, 14)	-72 (-137, -6)	-0.10	-0.08(-0.22, 0.05)
	Q4	$3471 \pm 490$	14	11 (-62, 84)	3 (-54, 61)	10 (-55, 75)	0.03	0.02 (-0.11, 0.16)
	Per IQR		16	15 (-7, 38)	8 (-9, 25)	11 (-9, 31)	0.02	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)
	(0.4  ng/mL)			\	( ) - /	\ /- /		, , , , , , , ,
	Per 0.1 ng/mL		4	4 (-2, 10)	2 (-2, 7)	3 (-2, 9)	0.01	0.01 (0.00, 0.02)

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

PFDA	Q1	$3461 \pm 554$	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	$3432 \pm 492$	-29	-34 (-107, 39)	-45 (-103, 13)	-55 (-120, 11)	-0.09	-0.10 (-0.24, 0.04)
	Q3	$3411 \pm 506$	-50	-44 (-119, 31)	-55(-113, 2)	-52 (-117, 13)	-0.15	-0.13 (-0.26, 0.01)
	Q4	$3468 \pm 480$	7	17 (-56, 90)	2 (-55, 59)	4 (-60, 69)	-0.01	0.02 (-0.12, 0.15)
	Per IQR		11	15 (-6, 37)	9 (-7, 24)	13 (-6, 31)	0.01	0.02 (-0.01, 0.06)
	(0.2  ng/mL)							
	Per 0.1 ng/mL		6	9 (-3, 21)	5 (-4, 13)	7 (-3, 17)	0.01	0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)
PFUnA	Q1	$3449 \pm 532$	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	$3439 \pm 510$	-10	3 (-71, 76)	-3 (-61, 55)	4 (-60, 69)	-0.04	-0.007 (-0.15, 0.13)
	Q3	$3459 \pm 513$	10	29 (-46, 105)	17 (-42, 76)	24 (-43, 91)	-0.02	0.03 (-0.11, 0.18)
	Q4	$3425 \pm 480$	-24	8 (-65, 81)	-13 (-72, 45)	-15 (-81, 51)	-0.11	-0.03 (-0.17, 0.11)
	Per IQR		-9	0 (-21, 21)	-5 (-22, 11)	-8 (-27, 11)	-0.03	-0.01 (-0.05, 0.03)
	(0.2  ng/mL)							·
	Per 0.1 ng/mL		-4	0(-8, 9)	-2 (-9, 5)	-3 (-11, 5)	-0.01	0.00 (-0.02, 0.01)

Abbreviations: Quartile (Q), interquartile range (IQR), gram (g), 95 % confidence interval (CI), standard deviation (SD), reference (Ref). For PFAA abbreviations see Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index and educational level. <sup>b</sup>Additionally adjusted for gestational age (continuous).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Adjusted as Model A and restricted to term births (>37 gestational weeks and 0 days). Adjustment for gestational age among infants born at term did not changes the results (data not shown).

**Table 4.** Sex-stratified associations between levels of perfluoroalkyl acids and birth weight in 764 boys and 743 girls from the Aarhus Birth Cohort, 2008-2013.

PFAA	Exposure scale	Birth weight in boys		Birth w	veight in girls	Sex-exposure interaction <sup>b</sup>
	Scare	Crude	Adjusted <sup>a</sup> (95 % CI)	Crude	Adjusted <sup>a</sup> (95 % CI)	Adjusted <sup>a</sup> (95 % CI)
PFHxS	Q1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	-14	-21 (-124, 82)	5	6 (-93, 106)	27 (-115, 170)
	Q3	-31	-19 (-127, 89)	-19	-21 (-123, 80)	-3 (-151, 145)
	Q4	-13	-16 (-125, 93)	-56	-34 (-141, 73)	-17 (-170, 135)
	Per IQR	-14	-14 (-51, 22)	-5	-1 (-25, 22)	13 (-30, 56)
	(0.3  ng/mL)		, , ,		, ,	
	Per 0.1 ng/mL	-5	-5 (-19, 8)	-2	0 (-9, 8)	5 (-11, 21)
PFHpS	Q1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
•	Q2	-82	-69 (-178 40)	-36	-39 (-138, 60)	30 (-117, 177)
	Q3	0	0 (-102, 101)	-48	-60 (-153, 37)	-58 (-197, 82)
	Q4	-15	-16 (-123, 92)	-76	-78 (-176, 20)	-63 (-208, 83)
	Per IQR	5	4 (-35, 43)	-27	-30 (-72, 12)	-34 (-91, 23)
	(0.1  ng/mL)					
	Per 0.1 ng/mL	5	4 (-32, 39)	-25	-27 (-65, 11)	-31 (-83, 21)
PFOS	Q1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	-132	-129 (-239, -19)	-37	-44 (-140, 52)	85 (-61, 231)
	Q3	16	9 (-93, 110)	-56	-55 (-148, 38)	-63 (-201, 75)
	Q4	-40	-37 (-141, 67)	-73	-71 (-174, 31)	-34 (-180, 112)
	Per IQR	25	26 (-13, 65)	-32	-32 (-71, 7)	-58 (-114, -3)
	(4.8  ng/mL)					
	Per 0.1 ng/mL	1	1 (0, 1)	-1	-1 (-1, 0) Ref.	-1 (-2, -1) Ref.
PFOA	Q1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.		
	Q2	-35	-17 (-126, 93)	-11	6 (-95, 107)	23 (-126, 172)
	Q3	36	54 (-54, 163)	-11	-2 (-100, 95)	-56 (-202, 89)
	Q4	11	21 (-84, 126)	11	22 (-79, 124)	1 (-144, 147)
	Per IQR	27	31 (4, 59)	1	4 (-34, 42)	-27 (-74, 20)
	(1.1 ng/mL)		• (0 • •)		0 ( 0 . 1)	
	Per 0.1 ng/mL	2	3 (0, 5)	0	0 (-3, 4)	-2 (7, 2)
PFNA	Q1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	63	62 (-48, 172)	-89	-97 (-193, -2)	-160 (-305, -14)
	Q3	20	19 (-94, 133)	-131	-123 (-218, -29)	-143 (-291, 5)
	Q4	50	46 (-64, 155)	-39	-35 (-133, 63)	-81 (-228, 66)
	Per IQR	28	27 (-5, 59)	-5	-4 (-38, 31)	-31 (-78, 16)
	(0.4 ng/mL)	o	7 ( 2 16)	1	1 ( 11 0)	0 ( 22 5)
DEDA	Per 0.1 ng/mL	8 Def	7 (-2, 16) Ref.	-1 Dof	-1 (-11, 8) Ref.	-9 (-22, 5) Ref.
PFDA	Q1 Q2	Ref.	13 (-98, 124)	-65	-70 (-167, 26)	-84 (-230, 63)
	Q2 Q3	30	38 (-73, 148)	-136	-127 (-228, -26)	-165 (-314, -15)
	Q3 Q4	74	84 (-25, 192)	-73	-58 (-156, 39)	-142 (-287, 4)
	Per IQR	18	21 (-7, 49)	-6	0 (-36, 37)	-20 (-166, 25)
	(0.2  ng/mL)	10	21 (-7, -7)	-0	0 (-30, 37)	-20 (-100, 23)
	Per 0.1 ng/mL	10	12 (-4, 27)	-3	0 (-20, 21)	-11 (-37, 14)
PFUnA	Q1	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
	Q2	-17	-12 (-124, 99)	-14	0 (-97, 97)	13 (-135, 161)
	Q3	7	25 (-86, 136)	2	24 (-79, 127)	-1 (-152, 150)
	Q4	-19	13 (-101, 127)	-41	-11 (-102, 81)	-24 (-169, 122)
	Per IQR	-8	1 (-33, 35)	-13	-5 (-31,22)	-6 (-49, 37)
	(0.2  ng/mL)		- (55,55)		- (,)	• ( ., 5, )
	Per 0.1 ng/mL	-3	1 (-14, 15)	-5	-2 (-13, 9)	-2 (-20, 15)
			\ 2 - /	1 -	\ - 2 - /	\ -, -/

Advance Publication: Not Copyedited

Abbreviations: Quartile (Q), interquartile range (IQR), 95 % confidence interval (95 % CI), reference (Ref). See PFAA abbreviations in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index and educational level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Differences in birth weight between sexes are based on girls compared with boys, i.e. negative estimates refer to lower birth weight estimates for girls compared with boys.